DEPARTMENT OF THE NAVY
BOARD FOR CORRECTION OF NAVAL RECORDS
701 S. COURTHOUSE ROAD, SUITE 1001
ARLINGTON, VA 22204-2490

BC
Docket No: 08242-13
2 September 2014

[redacted]

Dear [redacted]

This is in reference to your application for correction of your naval record pursuant to the provisions of title 10, United States Code, section 1552.

A three-member panel of the Board for Correction of Naval Records, sitting in executive session, considered your application on 27 August 2014. Your allegations of error and injustice were reviewed in accordance with administrative regulations and procedures applicable to the proceedings of this Board. Documentary material considered by the Board consisted of your application, together with all material submitted in support thereof, your naval record, and applicable statutes, regulations, and policies.

After careful and conscientious consideration of the entire record, the Board found the evidence submitted was insufficient to establish the existence of probable material error or injustice.

You enlisted in the Navy Reserve on 28 August 1984. You were honorably separated on 27 August 1987, and affiliated with a drilling reserve unit. However, due to your failure to participate in the Ready Reserve, you were separated with an under other than honorable characterization of service by reason of unsatisfactory participation on 3 May 1989, and assigned an RE-4 (ineligible for reenlistment) reenlistment code.

The Board, in its review of your entire record and application, carefully considered all potentially mitigating factors present in your case, but found those factors insufficient to warrant changing the characterization of service, given your record of unsatisfactory participation in the Navy Reserve. Accordingly, your application has been denied. The names and votes of the members of the panel will be furnished upon request.

It is regretted that the circumstances of your case are such that favorable action cannot be taken. You are entitled to have the Board reconsider its decision upon submission of new and material evidence or other matter not previously considered by the Board. In this regard, it is important to keep in mind that a presumption of regularity attaches to all official records. Consequently, when applying for a correction of an official naval record, the burden is on the applicant to demonstrate the existence of probable material error or injustice.

Sincerely,

ROBERT J. O'NEILL
Executive Director